

## An approximation algorithm for maximum packing of 3-edge paths

Refael Hassin\*, Shlomi Rubinstein<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Statistics and Operations Research, School of Mathematical Sciences,  
Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel*

Received 16 December 1996; revised 15 May 1997

Communicated by S. Zaks

*Keywords:* Analysis of algorithms; Maximum set packing

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a complete graph with node set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . For  $(u, v) \in E$  let  $w(u, v) \geq 0$  be its weight. Assume that  $|V| = n = 4k$  for some integer  $k$ . A packing of 3-edge paths is a set of  $k$  node-disjoint paths of three edges (and thus four nodes) each. The subject of this note is the problem of computing a packing of 3-edge paths with maximum total edge weight. The problem is NP-hard [5].

The problem is a special case of the general set packing problem considered in [1,2] and the general results there imply a  $\frac{1}{3}$  bound on the performance ratio. In this note we prove that a simple algorithm guarantees a bound of  $\frac{3}{4}$ . We also present related observations on the maximum symmetric traveling salesman problem (Max-TSP).

### 2. Max packing of 3-edge paths

We start by considering a more general problem. Suppose we want to partition  $V$  into  $k$  node-disjoint paths with  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  edges respectively, of maximum weight (where  $n = k + \sum c_i$ ). The following algorithm guarantees a factor of  $\alpha(1 - k/n)$ , where  $\alpha$  is the performance guarantee available for solving Max-TSP. The algorithm of Fisher, Nemhauser and Wolsey [3] gives  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$  and an improved bound has recently been obtained by Kosaraju, Park and Stein [7].

- Approximate Max-TSP with factor  $\alpha$ . Let the edges in this solution be  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  in this cyclic order.
- For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ : Construct a solution in which the  $j$ th path ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ) consists of the edges  $e_{l(i,j)}, \dots, e_{r(i,j)}$ , where indices are mod  $n$ ,  $l(i, j) = i + c_1 + \dots + c_{j-1} + j$ , and  $r(i, j) = l(i, j) + c_j - 1$ .
- Output the solution with maximum total edge weight among the  $n$  solutions computed above.

The stated bound results from the following observations. The  $n$  solutions constructed by the procedure use each edge of the tour exactly  $n - k$  times, so that the average solution has weight  $(n - k)/n = 1 - k/n$  of the tour's weight. The weight of the maximal of these solutions is at least as that of the average one.

\* Corresponding author. Email: hassin@math.tau.ac.il.

<sup>1</sup> Email: shlom@math.tau.ac.il.




Fig. 1. Classification of  $OPT$  and partition of  $OPT \setminus M1$ .

Finally, the solution to Max-TSP is an upper bound on the solution of the 3-edge paths packing problem.

In the 3-edge paths packing problem, we have  $k = \frac{1}{4}n$  so that the bound resulting from the above method is  $\frac{3}{4}\alpha < \frac{3}{4}$ . We will now suggest a different approach with a  $\frac{3}{4}$  bound. We will denote by  $OPT$  an optimal solution and by  $opt$  its weight. Similarly,  $APX$  is an approximate solution and  $apx$  its weight.

We suggest the following algorithm.

- Compute in  $G$  a maximum weight perfect matching  $M1$ .
- Form a complete graph  $G' = (V', E')$ . The nodes of  $V'$  correspond to the edges of  $M1$ . The weight of  $(u, v) \in E'$ , where  $u$  corresponds to  $(a_u, b_u) \in M1$  and  $v$  corresponds to  $(a_v, b_v) \in M1$ , is defined as  $w'(u, v) = \max\{w(a_u, a_v), w(a_u, b_v), w(b_u, a_v), w(b_u, b_v)\}$ .
- Compute a maximum weight perfect matching in  $G'$ . Let  $M2$  be the edges corresponding to this matching (through the definition of  $w'$ ) in  $G$ .
- Output  $APX = M1 \cup M2$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $apx \geq \frac{3}{4}opt$ .

**Proof.** Partition  $OPT$  into four classes according to its intersection with  $M1$ , as described in Fig. 1.

We will now describe a process that constructs three matchings  $S$ ,  $T$  and  $M$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $S, T$  partition  $OPT \setminus M1$ .
- (2) Each edge of  $T$  is adjacent to two edges of  $M1 \setminus OPT$ .
- (3)  $M \subset S$  corresponds to a matching in  $G'$ .
- (4)  $w(M) \geq \frac{1}{2}w(S)$ .

We start the construction process with the initial sets  $S, T$  as follows (see Fig. 1):

I- and II-paths: Assign the middle edge to  $S$  and the other edges to  $T$ .

III-paths: Assign both edges to  $S$ .

IV-paths: Assign the edge to  $S$ .

Consider now the subgraph  $H = (V, S \cup M1)$  of  $G$ . Since both  $S$  and  $M1$  are matchings in  $G$ ,  $H$  consists of a collection of node-disjoint paths and simple cycles.

Define the  $S$ -length of a path in  $H$  as the number of  $S$ -edges it contains. Call a path (or a cycle) *odd* if its  $S$ -length is odd. Otherwise, call it *even*. We observe that edges from II- and IV-paths are not contained in any cycle of  $H$  while each III-path contributes 2 to the  $S$ -length of each cycle or path component in  $H$  that intersects it.

We will describe now how odd cycles can be eliminated from  $H$  by changing the way  $S$  and  $T$  edges are defined for some I-paths. Suppose that  $H$  contains an odd cycle  $C$ . Since a III-path contributes 2  $S$ -edges to at most one cycle that intersects it,  $C$  must contain an  $S$ -edge, say  $s$ , from a I-path. We now modify the sets  $S$  and  $T$  by moving the middle edge of this I-path to  $T$  and its end edges to  $S$ . A new odd cycle may be formed from the union of  $C \setminus \{s\}$  and an odd path. Fig. 2 illustrates such a case. However, as we observed, the odd path contains an  $S$ -edge from a I-path. The process is repeated with that edge. After a finite number of steps, the odd cycle is eliminated. We repeat this process for each odd cycle in  $H$ .

We now form the edge set  $M \subset S$  satisfying property (4).




Fig. 2. Elimination of odd cycles.

Consider an even cycle in  $H$ . Its edges alternate between  $M1$  and  $S$ . The edges of  $S$  in this cycle can be decomposed (alternately according to their order in the cycle) into two disjoint subsets, such that each subset forms with the edges of  $M1$  in this cycle a set of 3-edge paths. We assign to  $M$  the subset of higher total weight.

Similarly, the edges of a path in  $H$  alternate between  $M1$  and  $S$ , with its end edges belonging to  $M1$ . Its  $S$ -edges can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets each forming with  $M1$  edges sets of 3-edge paths. We assign to  $M$  the subset of higher total weight. We end up with a subset  $M \subset S$  satisfying property (4) and since  $M2$  is an edge set of maximum weight of this type, it follows that also

$$w(M2) \geq \frac{1}{2}w(S). \tag{1}$$

We consider now the final partition  $S, T$  as constructed above. Each  $T$ -edge is adjacent to two edges of  $M1 \setminus OPT$ . It follows that the weight of  $M1 \setminus OPT$  is at least as that of  $T$ , since otherwise replacing  $M1 \setminus OPT$  by  $T$  will give a matching of weight greater than  $w(M1)$ . Thus,

$$w(M1 \setminus OPT) \geq w(T). \tag{2}$$

Since the  $S$ -edges are node-disjoint they form a (not necessarily perfect) matching. By the optimality of  $M1$  (and non-negativity of the weights),

$$w(M1) \geq w(S). \tag{3}$$

From (1), (2), (3), and a trivial identity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4w(M2) &\geq 2w(S), \\ 3w(M1 \setminus OPT) &\geq 3w(T), \\ w(M1) &\geq w(S), \\ 3w(M1 \cap OPT) &= 3w(M1 \cap OPT). \end{aligned}$$

Summation gives

$$\begin{aligned} 4apx &= 4(w(M1) + w(M2)) \\ &\geq 3(w(S) + w(T) + w(M1 \cap OPT)) \\ &= 3opt, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Example 2.** Consider 8 nodes on a cycle with edge weights 1,2,1,0,1,2,1,0 in this cyclic order, and all the edges not on the cycle are of zero weight. Clearly,  $opt = 8$ . A possible choice for  $M1$  is the two edges of weight 2 and the two edges of weight 0 from the cycle. In this case  $M2$  consists of two edges of unit weight. Thus,

$$apx = w(M1) + w(M2) = 4 + 2 = 6.$$

This example demonstrates that the bound of Theorem 1 is tight.

### 3. Relation to Max-TSP

We now determine the performance guarantee of the algorithm we used for packing 3-edge paths when applied to Max-TSP. Thus, we denote by  $OPT$  an optimal solution to this problem, and similarly for the other notation.

We observe that a tour can be covered three times by four 3-edge paths packings. Thus, an optimal solution to the 3-edge paths packing problem can be completed to a  $\frac{3}{4}$  approximation for Max-TSP. Consequently we obtain as a corollary to Theorem 1 that  $w(M1) + w(M2) \geq \frac{9}{16}opt$ . We now strengthen this result:

**Theorem 3.**  $w(M1) + w(M2) \geq \frac{5}{8}opt$ .

**Proof.** Consider an optimal tour  $OPT$ . The edges of  $OPT \setminus M1$  form node-disjoint paths, or  $OPT$  itself. Each such path contains at least one edge. It is possible to partition  $OPT \setminus M1$  into disjoint subsets  $S$  and  $T$  so that the following properties hold:

- (1) The edges of  $T \cup (OPT \cap M1)$  are node disjoint.
- (2)  $S$  consists of node disjoint 1-edge and 2-edge paths.

By the first property and maximality of  $M1$  it follows that

$$w(M1 \setminus OPT) \geq w(T), \quad (4)$$

since otherwise, by replacing  $M1 \setminus OPT$  by  $T$ , we get a matching with a greater weight than  $M1$ .

Let  $M_S$  be the subset of  $M1 \setminus OPT$  of edges that have at least one end node incident to two  $S$  edges (that is, this end node is a "center" of a 2-edge path of  $S$ -edges). If we contract the edges of  $M_S$  (by identifying their end nodes), the edges of  $S$  define a simple graph with edge set  $S$  and maximum node degree of 4. Moreover, in this graph there are no edges connecting two nodes whose degree is 4 so that by a theorem of Fournier [4] (see also [6]), it is 4-edge colorable. Let  $M$  be the set of edges corresponding to the color class whose total edge length is maximal. Then,  $w(M) \geq \frac{1}{4}w(S)$ . Now, each edge in  $M$  connects two distinct edges of  $M1$  and the 3-edge paths formed this way are node disjoint. Since  $M2$  is a maximum weight subset of this type also  $w(M2) \geq \frac{1}{4}w(S)$ . With (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w(M2) &\geq \frac{1}{4}w(S) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[w(OPT) - w(M1 \cap OPT) - w(T)] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}[opt - w(M1 \cap OPT) - w(M1 \setminus OPT)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[opt - w(M1)], \end{aligned}$$

or,

$$\frac{1}{4}w(M1) + w(M2) \geq \frac{1}{4}opt. \quad (5)$$

By assumption  $n$  is even, so that  $OPT$  can be partitioned into two edge-disjoint matchings. Thus,  $w(M1) \geq \frac{1}{2}opt$ , or  $\frac{3}{4}w(M1) \geq \frac{3}{8}opt$ . Adding this inequality to (5) we get

$$w(M1) + w(M2) \geq \frac{5}{8}opt. \quad \square$$

**Example 4.** Consider for a positive integer  $k$ , a  $3k$ -node graph with a cycle of  $3k$  edges whose weights are  $1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, \dots$  in cyclic order. All the other weights are 0. Then,  $opt = 4k$ . A maximum matching has a weight of  $2k$  that can be achieved in several ways. Suppose that  $M1$  selects the  $k$  edges of weight 2 together with  $\frac{1}{2}k$  zero weight edges. Then,  $M2$  cannot select more than one unit weight edge from each adjacent pair of such edges. Thus,  $w(M2) = \frac{1}{2}k$  and  $w(M1) + w(M2) = \frac{5}{2}k$ . This shows that the bound proved above is the best possible.

One may consider a natural enhancement of the algorithm. After computing  $M1$  and  $M2$  continue the process by computing  $M3$ , a maximum weight matching of end nodes of the 3-edge paths obtained. Then compute  $M4$  to match the end nodes of the resulting 7-edge paths. The tour is finally constructed from the union of  $M1, \dots, Ml$  for  $l = 3, \dots, \lceil \log n \rceil + 1$ . Note that the  $+1$  relates to a last edge needed to turn a Hamiltonian path into a cycle. However, the bound may at best improve to  $\frac{2}{3}$  as can be verified by constructing examples with this ratio.

### References

- [1] E. Arkin and R. Hassin, On local search for weighted  $k$ -set packing, 1996.
- [2] V. Bafna, B. Narayanan and R. Ravi, Nonoverlapping local alignments (weighted independent sets of axis parallel rectangles), in: *Proc. Workshop for Algorithms and Data Structures (WADS)*, 1995.

- [3] M.L. Fisher, G.L. Nemhauser and L.A. Wolsey, An analysis of approximations for finding a maximum weight Hamiltonian circuit, *Oper. Res.* 27 (1979) 799–809.
- [4] J.C. Fournier, Colorations des arêtes d'un graphe, *Cahiers Centre Études Rech. Opér.* 15 (1973) 311–314.
- [5] M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1978).
- [6] T.R. Jensen and B. Toft, *Graph Coloring Problems* (Wiley, New York, 1995).
- [7] C. Stein, Private communication, May 1997.